



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/025,691		12/26/2001	Ming Hsun Lin	MR2349-764	6051		
4586	7590	05/12/2004	EXAMINER				
	•	LEIN & LEE	DEAN, RAYMOND S				
		ENTER DRIVE-S' MD 21043	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
				2684	2		
				DATE MAILED: 05/12/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	plication No.		Applicant(s)		1			
Office Action Summary			/025,691		LIN, MING HSUN	,	/ W			
			aminer		Art Unit					
			mond S Dean		2684					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication appears	on the cover sh	eet with the co	orrespondence add	dress				
A SHO THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNISIONS of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum is the to reply within the set or extended period for repleply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. 30) days, a reply within statutory period will app y will, by statute, cause	In no event, however, the statutory minimur ly and will expire SIX (the application to bec	may a reply be time on of thirty (30) days (6) MONTHS from to come ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely ne mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status										
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action	on is non-final.							
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1 - 5 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 - 5 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn fr								
Applicati	on Papers					•				
, —	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any obj	e: a) accepte	-	<u>-</u>						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected	•	•							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations are the attached detailed Office activities.	y documents have documents have sof the priority donal Bureau (PC	ve been receive ve been receive ocuments have CT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application been receive).	on No d in this National	Stage				
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review e mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		Par 5) 🔲 Not	erview Summary per No(s)/Mail Da tice of Informal Pa per:)-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/025,691

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 2 and 4 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shamlou et al. (US 6,690,949 B1) in view of Hewitt (5,095,308).

Regarding Claim 1, Shamlou teaches a battery cap and wireless transmitter -receiver circuit assembly for mobile telephone comprising: a battery cap covered on a mobile telephone (Figure 3, Figure 7, Column 8 lines 48 – 51); a battery installed in said battery cap (Figure 7, Column 8 lines 48 – 51, since it is a battery pack there is an inherent battery); a wireless transmitter-receiver circuit installed in said battery cap (Figure 3, Figure 7, Column 5 lines 19 – 67, Column 6 lines 1 – 67, Column 7 lines 1 – 14, Column 8 lines 48 – 51, the replaceable module is the transmitter – receiver circuit), said wireless transmitter- receiver circuit comprising a signal line adapted for connection to a voice signal input/output jack in said mobile telephone for enabling said mobile telephone to communicate with a remote wireless transmitter-receiver device (Figure 3, Figure 7, Column 5 lines 23 – 26, the voice signals are transmitted on the transmit path

Application/Control Number: 10/025,691

Art Unit: 2684

for transmission to another cellular phone thus there is an inherent signal line adapted for connection to a voice signal input/output jack for the transmission of said voice signals).

Shamlou does not teach an on/off switch installed in said battery cap and adapted for controlling the operation of said wireless transmitter-receiver circuit.

Hewitt teaches an on/off switch adapted for controlling the operation of said wireless transmitter-receiver circuit (Figure 3, Column 6 lines 32 – 47).

Shamlou and Hewitt both teach a wireless phone with a battery pack for providing power to said wireless phone thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the on/off switch taught above in Hewitt in the wireless phone of Shamlou for the purpose of providing a battery saver feature that prevents deep discharge thus limiting battery drain.

Regarding Claim 2, Shamlou in view of Hewitt teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Shamlou further teaches wherein said battery cap comprises a charging jack electrically connected to the battery installed in said battery cap and adapted for receiving a battery charger to charge the battery installed in said battery cap (Column 3 lines 39 – 58, this is a standard cellular phone, which means that said standard cellular phone has a rechargeable battery pack, thus this is an inherent characteristic).

Regarding Claim 4, Shamlou in view of Hewitt teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Shamlou further teaches an electrically insulative sealing cover fastened to a backside of said battery cap and covered on said

Application/Control Number: 10/025,691

Art Unit: 2684

wireless transmitter-receiver circuit (Figure 7, Column 8 lines 48 – 51, the topside section of the handset (86) is the electrically insulative sealing cover).

Regarding Claim 5, Shamlou in view of Hewitt teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Shamlou further teaches wherein the battery installed in said battery cap is a rechargeable storage battery (Column 3 lines 39 – 58, this is a standard cellular phone, which means that said standard cellular phone has a rechargeable battery pack, thus this is an inherent characteristic).

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shamlou et al. (US 6,690,949 B1) in view of Hewitt (5,095,308) and in further view of Chiang (5,864,766).

Regarding Claim 3, Shamlou in view of Hewitt teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Hewitt further teaches indicating the working status of said wireless transmitter – receiver circuit (Figure 3, Column 6 lines 32 – 47, the fact that the switch can be on/off means that transmitter – receiver circuit will be working/not working thus there is an inherent indication of a working status of said transmitter – receiver circuit).

Shamlou in view of Hewitt does not teach an indicator light.

Chiang teaches an indicator light (Figure 1, Column 3 lines 13 – 15).

Shamlou in view of Hewitt and Chiang teach a wireless phone with an integrated battery pack thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the indicator light taught above in Chiang in the wireless phone of Shamlou in view of Hewitt for the purpose of

Art Unit: 2684

alerting a user of said wireless phone that there is a message waiting for said user.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Raymond S. Dean at telephone number (703) 305-8998.

If attempts to reach examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung, can be reached at (703) 308-7745. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology center 2600 only)

Hand – delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is

(703) 306-0377

NAY MAUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER